1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 MARKELLE NEAL TAYLOR, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-0788 MCE GGH P 12 VS. 13 TOM CAREY, **ORDER** 14 Respondents. 15 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an 16 17 application for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 18 Examination of the in forma pauperis affidavit reveals that petitioner is unable to 19 afford the costs of suit. Accordingly, the request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis will be 20 granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 21 Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of 22 constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be served with the petition, but shall not file a 23 response at the present time. 24 In light of the length of petitioner's sentence, the court has determined that the 25 interests of justice require appointment of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B); see also Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). 26

## 

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted;
3	2. The Federal Defender is appointed to represent petitioner;
4	3. Within thirty days of this order, the parties shall file a joint scheduling
5	statement which addresses the timing and order of the following matters:
6	a. The number of days petitioner's counsel estimates it will take to file
7	either:
8	1. A statement indicating petitioner will stand on the existing
9	petition, and supplemental memorandum of points and authorities, if any;
10	2. An amended petition which will proceed on exhausted claims
11	only; or
12	3. A statement which identifies both exhausted and unexhausted
13	claims, demonstrates good cause for having failed to exhaust state court remedies as to any
14	claims, and any intention to pursue unexhausted claims, after which the court may recommend
15	that the proceedings be held in abeyance while petitioner exhausts any new claims in state court. <sup>2</sup>
16	b. Discovery and investigations;
17	c. Anticipated motions;
18	d. The need for and timing of an evidentiary hearing;
19	e. Enumeration and resolution of unexhausted claims; and
20	f. Possible future amendments to the pleadings.
21	Counsel are reminded of the importance of timely filing a joint scheduling statement. Failure to
22	
23	<sup>1</sup> <u>Rhines v. Weber,</u> U.S, 125 S. Ct. 1528 (2005).
24	<sup>2</sup> If not all claims in the original petition are exhausted, an amended "exhausted claims
25	only" petition must be filed along with the statement indicating petitioner's intent to pursue unexhausted claims and good cause for petitioner's having failed to exhaust before filing in
26	<b>federal court</b> . Of course, if no claims in the original petition have been exhausted, the case must be dismissed as opposed to stayed.

## 

1	do so may result in sanctions.
2	4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order on Jo Graves, Senior
3	Assistant Attorney General, and David Porter, Assistant Federal Defender, and on David Porter,
4	Assistant Federal Defender.
5	DATED: 8/5/05
6	
7	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
8	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	ggh:kj
11	tay788.110
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	